

PRINTER RUSH
(PTO ASSISTANCE)

(E-File)
Query Check

Application : 09/388989 Examiner : Padgett, M GAU : 1762
From: [Signature] Location: IDC FMF (FDC) Date: 12/15/2005
Tracking #: X Week Date: A

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449		<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS		<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLM	<u>10/26/2005</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IIFW	<u>9/12/2005</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (<u>NOA 9/12/2005</u>)
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW		
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH		
<input type="checkbox"/> 312		
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC		

[RUSH] MESSAGE: Index disagrees: The Notice of Allowance and the index of claims do not reflect the claim submitted in approved amendment dated 10-26-2005.
Please resolve.

Thank you,
[Signature]

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: (Rush computer message - received by Examiner 1/10/2006)

- The 10/26/2005 Amendment is a 312 Amendment, thus sent in after the 9/12/2005 Notice of allowance. As the 10/24/2005 Amendment canceled claims 3+8, they can't match, but a New Notice of allowance is NOT suppose to be sent out in response to a 312, so in this case, they won't match.
- An updated Issue Classification has been sent to scanning, to show cancellation of claims 3+8 in the index

INITIALS: [Signature]

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04

1/10/06